IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA BRUNSWICK DIVISION

Jay 12 Mg 33

CHARLESTON KELLY, JR.,

Petitioner,

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. CV204-172

JOSE VASQUEZ, Warden,

Respondent.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Petitioner Charleston Kelly, Jr., an inmate currently incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Jesup, Georgia, has filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 2241. In his petition, Kelly asserts that the Bureau of Prisons ("BOP") has incorrectly calculated his good conduct time. Specifically, Kelly contends that the BOP's interpretation of the "good conduct time statute", 18 U.S.C.A. § 3624(b)(1), has deprived him of good conduct time that he is entitled to receive. Kelly contends that the plain language of § 3624(b)(1) requires that he receive 54 days of good conduct time for every year of imprisonment to which he was sentenced. The BOP has interpreted § 3624(b)(1) to mean that "54 days of [good conduct time] may be earned for each full year [actually] served on a sentence [.]" Program Statement No. 5880.28.

This statute, in pertinent part, states:

[A] prisoner who is serving a term of imprisonment of more than one year . . . may receive credit toward the service of the prisoner's sentence, beyond the time served, of up to 54 days at the end of each year of the prisoner's term of imprisonment, beginning at the end of the first year of the term[.]

18 U.S.C.A. § 3624(b)(1). In a case of first impression in this circuit, the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals held that the plain text of § 3624(b)(1) is ambiguous, but the BOP's interpretation of this statute is reasonable and "due to be affirmed[.]" Brown v. McFadden, 2005 WL 1618739, *2 (11th Cir. 2005, July 12, 2005). The Eleventh Circuit also held that the rule of lenity is not applicable because of the BOP's reasonable interpretation of § 3624(b)(1). Id. Thus, Brown's petition, in which he sought credit for additional days of good conduct time, was appropriately denied.

Based on the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals' holding in <u>Brown</u>, Kelly's petition for writ of habeas corpus, filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 2241, should be **DENIED**.

SO REPORTED AND RECOMMENDED, this _/2 day of September, 2005.

AMES E. GRAHAM

∕UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

United States District Court

Southern District of Georgia

KELLY)				
vs			CAS	E NUMBER	MBER CV204-172	
VASQUEZ)	DIVISION		BRUNSWICK	
The u distric	t, while cond	regularly appo	ointed and quess of the (alified deput Court for said	ty in the of division,	fice of the Clerk of this does hereby certify the
1.	duties, I pe		d in the Unit	ted States Ma	il a sealed	nance of my official envelope, and properly below; and
2.	That the afo 9/12/05		_			ocument dated ord of this case.
Date of Mail	ing:	9/12/05	,			
Date of Certi	ficate	X s	same date,	or		
			Scott I	Poff, Clerk	:	
Name and A	11		Ву: _	Sherry Taylor	r, Deputy (Jay 17 Clerk
Name and Adams Amy Lee Cope Charleston Kel	land	CI Jesup, 2680 H	lighway 301 S	outh, Jesup, GA	31599	
						Copy placed in Minutes Copy given to Judge
					X	Copy given to Magistrate